



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>American Chemical Science Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_ACSj_3452
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and Cytotoxicity Of Some Novel Substituted Hydronaphthalene Derivatives

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	None	
Minor REVISION comments	- For the sake of clarity, please indicate the numbering at target molecule. - Please use the same format, ex: "T"able 1 not "t"able 1. - Line 296. What does the (1990) mean? - Line 309 to 313. The cytotoxic screening data do not match with Table 3, please check. - IC₅₀ for 13a - IC₅₀ for 4, 8, 15 - IC 50 of 8 is 8.5 much better than 10a, why authors selected it as the inactive compound? - Table 3. The IC₅₀ data should be given as xx.x±SD	Done Done 1990 was deleted The required have been corrected according to the accurate results (3) removed xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
Optional/General comments	- Authors should provide full analytical data, such as melting point, elemental analysis and HR Mass. - I would suggest authors provide the NMR spectrum and full X-ray data as supplemental information.	The melting points were mentioned in table 1. Full X-ray data has been sent, also some of spectrum.